## United States Department of Agriculture National Agricultural Statistics Service



# **Utah Crop Progress**

## Cooperating with the Utah Department of Agriculture and Food

USDA, NASS, Utah Field Office P.O. Box 25007 · Salt Lake City, UT 84125 (800) 747-8522 · www.nass.usda.gov/ut

# **Crop Summary for the Month of January 2015**

Agricultural Summary: Current reservoir levels were of concern for producers across the state. Scofield reservoir, which serves Carbon County, is at 20 percent capacity, and current snow levels are not enough to boost that above 100 percent during snowmelt. In Garfield County, very little snowpack exists which will limit irrigation supplies during the spring and summer. Box Elder County has experienced a very mild January, with only one snow storm leaving about 3 inches of snow. Precipitation was about average with about 1.1 inches of water received. Farmers were keeping their eyes on the mountain snowpack which is below average at this point. Cattle producers have been able to get by very well so far this winter. They are feeding some hay, but with the mild temperatures, feed supplies have not been used at an abnormal rate. Some producers have cows that are just starting to calve. Sheep producers are reporting that lack of snow on desert permits is forcing them to haul water to their sheep. The feed is good on the desert due to the late summer and fall rains that were received.

#### Crops, Livestock, and Pasture Condition

Item	Very poor	Poor	Fair	Good	Excellent
Winter wheat		1	30	58	11
Cattle/calves			15	83	2
Sheep/lambs			23	74	3
Pasture/range		5	54	39	2

## Soil Moisture Condition and Stock Water Supply

Item	Very short	Short	Adequate	Surplus	
Topsoil moisture	1	30	67	2	
Subsoil moisture	3	33	62	2	
Hay and roughage supply		1	98	1	
Stock water supply	3	23	74		

### **Crop and Livestock Progress**

Item	Current week	Previous week	Previous year	5-year average	
Cows calved	5	NA	NA	NA	
Ewes lambed - farm	2	NA	NA	NA	
Ewes lambed - range		NA	NA	NA	
Cattle – supplemental feeding	46	NA	NA	NA	
Sheep – supplemental feeding	39	NA	NA	NA	

NA – not available

									Network - Feb-2-2015  Current Prev. Yr.					
Site name	XX/ 1-1	Current	Yr.	Soil Moisture <sup>3</sup>			, 	Current	Avail.	Prev. Yr.	Avail.			
ofte name	Weekly Precip	Precip <sup>1</sup>	Precip <sup>2</sup>	2"	4''	8''	20"	40''	Avail. Water**	Water % of AWC*	Avail. Water**	Water % of AWC*		
	in.	in.	in.		1/6	lume	0/0		in.	%	in.	%		
WESTERN		111.	<i></i>		70	wiiic	70		ui.	70	<i></i> .	70		
Grouse Creek	0.23	4.3	2.2	19	24	27	31	29	7.4	109	1.2	18		
Park Valley	0.02	2.1	2.2	10	18	28	nd	18	5.2	116	3.2	72		
Goshute	0.36	1.6	2.4	29	nd	12	7	3	0.6	36	0.6	35		
Dugway	0.18	1.4	2.1	nd	nd	nd	nd	nd	0.0	0	0.6	59		
Tule Valley	0.60	1.2	1.9	22	22	20	19	8	5.0	80	4.6	73		
Hal's Canyon	0.66	1.2	1.7	10	12	9	10	8	1.0	18	0.5	9		
Enterprise Enterprise	0.77	2.7	1.7	18	40	36	14	14	2.7	69	0.8	20		
DIXIE	0.77	2.7	1.2	10	.0				2.,	07	0.0			
Sand Hollow	0.57	1.9	3.4	6	7	6	1	0	0.9	38	2.3	100		
	0.57	1.7	J. <del>T</del>	U	,	U	1	U	0.5	36	2.3	100		
NORTH CENTRAL	0.00	25	25	26	22	20	22	17	2.0	7/	0.0	15		
Blue Creek	0.09	3.5	2.5	36	32	38	22	17	3.9	76	0.8	15		
Cache Junction Grants ville	0.19	3.5 1.4	2.9	35 11	32 13	38 18	26 5	35	2.4	62 51	0.0 1.6	<i>0</i> <i>85</i>		
	0.03	1.4	3.1	11	13	10	3	nd	1.0	31	1.0	03		
SOUTH CENTRAL	0.40			T		20	_							
Nephi	0.12	3.5	2.5	31	32	30	7	0	2.5	55	0.7	16		
Ephraim	0.05	2.5	3.4	31	36	35	38	35	8.4	91	2.8	30		
Holden	0.21	2.3	2.0	11	6	0	12	11	0.4	6	0.5	8		
Milford	0.32	1.7	0.9	27	30	26	25	16	2.5	39	1.0	16		
Manderfield	0.18	1.9	1.8	36	27	25	10	5	2.2	40	0.2	4		
Circleville	0.08	1.2	1.0	7	21	11	8	13	1.1	17	1.5	23		
Panguitch	0.36	2.3	1.5	17	32	27	19	32	2.7	46	1.3	23		
Cave Valley	0.74	4.5	4.2	6	8	8	6	8	2.3	43	1.8	29		
Vermillion	1.44	4.0	4.3	9	6	6	5	7	0.5	10	0.9	19		
Spooky	1.05	2.5	3.0	8	11	5	11	1	0.6	24	2.7	110		
NORTHERN MOUNTAINS			1											
Chicken Ridge, sagebrush	0.07	2.2	1.4	20	21	25	17	12	3.5	49	0.6	8		
Chicken Ridge, aspen	0.07	2.2	1.4	15	18	17	6	5	0.9	15	0.0	0		
Buffalo Jump	0.03	2.3	1.9	9	12	13	8	na	0.5	11	0.0	0		
Morgan	0.10	4.2	4.5	32	28	30	35	20	7.6	92	6.2	74		
UINTAH BASIN														
Mountain Home	0.40	1.8	1.5	24	<i>13</i>	19	11	2	0.5	9	0.6	10		
Little Red Fox	0.51	1.9	1.1	24	32	35	34	35	7.0	98	0.6	8		
Split Mountain	0.20	1.6	2.6	21	25	16	17	11	2.6	38	0.8	12		
SOUTHEAST														
Price	0.42	1.3	2.0	10	21	27	13	15	2.6	33	1.5	19		
Green River	0.41	1.5	1.3	25	18	7	5	7	1.0	19	0.2	3		
Harm's Way	nd	nd	3.3	26	20	29	11	5	3.1	61	1.8	35		
West Summit	0.40	2.3	3.1	23	28	14	13	15	1.2	20	1.2	20		
Eastland	0.45	2.6	3.4	26	24	21	20	18	3.3	56	5.1	85		
Alkali Mesa	0.48	3.1	3.1	20	8	nd	15	15	0.3	7	0.8	15		
McCracken Mesa	0.59	2.6	3.0	24	26	25	14	12	3.2	86	2.8	75		
<sup>1</sup> from: 10/01/2014 to present	$^2$ from: $10/01/13$ to $02/02/14$ $na = no$ sensor						What the colors mean:							
Soil moisture at selected sites is adjusted for for high salt content						= below wilting point (WP); <b>too dry</b>								
**plant available water in the top 40" of soil nd = missing data							= between WP & FC; ideal							
*AWC = available water capacity in the top 40" of soil								field capac		oo wet				